arXiv:alg-geom/9610002v2 22 Jan 1997

Linearization of group stack actions and the Picard group of the moduli of $\mathbf{SL}_r/\mu_s$-bundles on a curve

Yves Laszlo (†)

Introduction

Let G be a complex semi-simple group and $\tilde{\mathrm{G}} \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{G}$ the universal covering. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{G}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}}$) be the moduli stack of G-bundles over a curve X of degree $1 \in \pi_1(\mathrm{G})$ (resp. of $\tilde{\mathrm{G}}$-bundles. In [B-L-S], we have studied the link between the groups $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{G}})$ and $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}})$, the later being well understood thanks to [L-S]. In particular, it has been possible to give a complete description in the case where $\mathrm{G} = \mathbf{PSL}_r$ but not in the case $\mathbf{SL}_r/\mu_s$, $s \mid r$, although we were able to give partial results. The reason was that we did not have at our disposal the technical background to study the morphism $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\mathrm{G}}} \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{G}}$. It turns to be out that it is a torsor under some group stack, not far from a Galois étale cover in the usual schematic picture. Now, the descent theory of Grothendieck has been adapted to the set-up of fpqc morphisms of stacks in [L-M] and gives the theorem 4.1 in the particular case of a morphism which is torsor under a group stack. We then used this technical result to determine the exact structure of $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{G}})$ where $\mathrm{G} = \mathbf{SL}_r/\mu_s$ (theorem 5.7).

I would like to thank L. Breen to have taught me both the notion of torsor and linearization of a vector bundle in the set-up of group-stack action and for his comments on a preliminary version of this paper.

Notation

Throughout this paper, all the stacks will be implicitely assumed to be algebraic over a fixed base scheme and the morphisms locally of finite type. We fix once for all a projective, smooth, connected genus g curve X over an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{k}$ and a closed point x of X. For simplicity, we assume $g > 0$ (see remarks 5.6 and 5.9 for the case of $\mathbf{P}^1$). The Picard stack parametrizing families of line bundles of degree 0 on X will be denoted by $\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})$ and the jacobian variety of X by JX. If G is an algebraic group over $\mathbf{k}$, the quotient stack $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{k})/\mathrm{G}$ (where G acts trivialy on $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{k})$) whose category over a $\mathbf{k}$-scheme S is the category of G-torsors (or G-bundles) over S will be denoted by BG. If n is an integer and $\mathrm{A} = \mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X}), \mathrm{JX}$ or BG_m we denote by n_{A} the n^{th}-power morphism $a \longmapsto a^n$. We denote by $\mathcal{J}_n$ (resp. J_n) the 0-fiber $\mathrm{A} \times_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{k})$ of n_{A} when $\mathrm{A} = \mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})$ (resp. $\mathrm{A} = \mathrm{JX}$), wh

1. Generalities .– Following [Br], for any diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathrm{A} \arrow[r, "h"] & \mathrm{B} \arrow[r, bend left, "g"] \arrow[r, bend right, "f"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\Uparrow \lambda"] & \mathrm{C} \arrow[r, "l"] & \mathrm{D} \end{tikzcd}$$

(†) Partially supported by the European HCM Project "Algebraic Geometry in Europe" (AGE).

of 2-categories, we'll denote by $l * \lambda : \; l \circ f \Rightarrow l \circ g$ (resp. $\lambda * h : \; f \circ h \Rightarrow g \circ h$) the 2-morphism deduced from λ.

1.1. For the convenience of the reader, let us prove a simple formal lemma which will be usefull in the section 4. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ be three 2-categories, a 2-commutative diagram

(1.1.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & \mathcal{C} \\
\mathcal{A} \arrow[urr, "\delta_0"] \arrow[rr, "f"] \arrow[drr, "\delta_1"'] & & \mathcal{B} \arrow[u, "d_0"'] \arrow[d, "d_1"] \\
 & & \mathcal{C}
\end{tikzcd}$$

and a 2-morphism $\mu : \; \delta_0 \Rightarrow \delta_1$.

Lemma 1.2 .– *Assume that f is an equivalence. There exists a unique 2-morphism*

$$\mu * f^{-1} : \; d_0 \Rightarrow d_1$$

such that $(\mu * f^{-1}) * f = \mu$.

Proof: let $\epsilon_k, k = 0, 1$ the 2-morphism $d_k \circ f \Rightarrow \delta_k$. Let b be an object of $\mathcal{B}$. Pick an object a of $\mathcal{A}$ and an isomorphism $\alpha : \; f(a) \xrightarrow{\sim} b$. Let $\varphi_\alpha : \; d_0(b) \xrightarrow{\sim} d_1(b)$ be the unique isomorphism making the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\delta_0(a) \arrow[r, "\epsilon_0(a)"] \arrow[d, "\mu_a"'] & d_0 \circ f(a) \arrow[r, "d_0(\alpha)"] & d_0(b) \arrow[d, "\varphi_\alpha"] \\
\delta_1(a) \arrow[r, "\epsilon_1(a)"] & d_1 \circ f(a) \arrow[r, "d_1(\alpha)"] & d_1(b)
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutative. We have to show that φ_α does not depend on α but only on b. Let $\alpha' : \; f(a') \xrightarrow{\sim} b$ be another isomorphism. There exists a unique isomorphism $\iota : \; a' \xrightarrow{\sim} a$ such that $\alpha \circ f(\iota) = \alpha'$. The one has the equality $\varphi_{\alpha'} = d_1(\alpha) \circ \Phi \circ d_0(\alpha)^{-1}$ where

$$\Phi = [d_1 \circ f(\iota)] \circ \epsilon_1(a') \circ \mu_{a'} \circ \epsilon_0(a')^{-1} \circ [d_0 \circ f(\iota)]^{-1}.$$

The functoriality of ϵ_i and μ ensures that one has the equalities

$$d_k \circ f(\iota) \circ \epsilon_k(a') = \epsilon_k(a) \circ \delta_k(\iota)$$

and

$$\mu_a = \delta_1(\iota) \circ \mu_{a'} \circ \delta_0(\iota)^{-1}.$$

This shows that

$$\Phi = \epsilon_1(a) \circ \mu_a \circ \epsilon_0(a)^{-1}$$

which proves the equality $\varphi_\alpha = \varphi_{\alpha'}$. We can therefore define μ_b to be the isomorphism φ_α for one isomorphism $\alpha : \; f(a) \xrightarrow{\sim} b$. One checks that the construction is functorial in b and the lemma follows. ■

2. Linearizations of line bundles on stacks .– Let us first recall following [Br] the notion of torsor in the stack context.

2.1. Let $f:\ \mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{Y}$ be a faithfully flat morphism of stacks. Let us assume that an algebraic gr-stack $\mathcal{G}$ acts on f (the product of $\mathcal{G}$ is denoted by $m_{\mathcal{G}}$ and the unit object by 1). Following [Br], this means that there exists a 1-morphism of $\mathcal{Y}$-stacks $m:\ \mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{X}$ and a 2-morphism $\mu:\ m\circ(m_{\mathcal{G}}\times \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{X}})\Rightarrow m\circ(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{G}}\times m)$ such that the obvious associativity condition (see the diagram (6.1.3) of [Br]) is satisfied and such that there exists a 2-morphism $\epsilon:\ m\circ(1\times \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{X}})\Rightarrow \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{X}}$ which is compatible to μ in the obvious sense (see (6.1.4) of [Br]).

Remark 2.2 .– To say that m is a morphism of $\mathcal{Y}$-stacks means that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{X} \arrow[rr, "m"] \arrow[dr] & & \mathcal{X} \arrow[dl] \\
& \mathcal{Y} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

is 2-commutative. In other words, if we denote for simplicity the image of a pair of objects $m(g,x)$ by $g.x$, this means that there exists a functorial isomorphism $\iota_{g,x}:\ f(g.x)\to f(x)$.

2.3. Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ acts on another such $f':\mathcal{X}'\to\mathcal{Y}$. A morphism $p:\ \mathcal{X}'\to\mathcal{X}$ will be said equivariant if there exists a 2-morphism

$$q:\ m\circ(\mathrm{Id}\times p)\Rightarrow p\circ m'$$

which is compatible to μ (as in [Br] (6.1.6)) and ϵ (which is implicit in [Br]) in the obvious sense.

Definition 2.4 .– *With the above notations, we say that* f *(or* $\mathcal{X}$ *) is a* $\mathcal{G}$*-torsor over* $\mathcal{Y}$ *if the morphism* $pr_2\times m:\ \mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{X}\times_{\mathcal{Y}}\mathcal{X}$ *is an isomorphism (of stacks) and the geometrical fibers of* f *are not empty.*

Remark 2.5 .– In down to earth terms, this means that if $\iota:\ f(x)\to f(x')$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{Y}$ (x,x' being objects of $\mathcal{X}$), there exist an object g of $\mathcal{G}$ and a unique isomorphism $(x,g.x)\xrightarrow{\sim}(x,x')$ which induces ι thanks to $\iota_{g,x}$ (cf. 2.2).

Example 2.6 .– If $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{G}_m)$ is the Picard stack of X, the morphism $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{G}_m)\to\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{G}_m)$ of multiplication by $n\in\mathrm{Z}$ is a torsor under $\mathrm{B}\mu_n\times\mathrm{J}_n(\mathrm{X})$ (cf. (3.1)).

2.7. Let a $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle on $\mathcal{X}$. By definition, the data $\mathcal{L}$ is equivalent to te data of a morphism $l:\ \mathcal{X}\to\mathrm{BG}_m$ (see [L-M],prop. 6.15). If $\mathcal{L},\mathcal{L}'$ are 2 line bundles on $\mathcal{X}$ defined by l,l', we will view an isomorphism $\mathcal{L}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{L}'$ as a 2-morphism $l\Rightarrow l'$.

Definition 2.8 .– *A* $\mathcal{G}$*-linearization of* $\mathcal{L}$ *is a* 2*-morphism* $\lambda:\ l\circ m\Rightarrow l\circ pr_2$ *such that the two diagrams of* 2*-morphisms*

(2.8.1)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
l\circ m\circ(m_{\mathcal{G}}\times\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{X}}) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "l*\mu"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\lambda*(m_{\mathcal{G}}\times\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{X}})"] & l\circ m\circ(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{G}}\times m) \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\lambda*(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{G}}\times m)"] \\
l\circ pr_2\circ(m_{\mathcal{G}}\times\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{X}})=l\circ pr_2\circ pr_{23} & l\circ pr_2\circ(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{G}}\times m)=l\circ m\circ pr_{23} \arrow[l, Rightarrow, "\lambda*pr_{23}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd} l\circ m\circ(1\times \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{X}}) \arrow[r, Rightarrow, "l*\epsilon"] \arrow[d, Rightarrow, "\lambda*(1\times\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{X}})"'] & l \arrow[d, equal] \\ l \arrow[r, phantom, "="] & l \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.8.2}$$

(stritly) commutes.

Remark 2.9 .– In g_1, g_2 are objects of $\mathcal{G}$ and d of $\mathcal{X}$, the commutativity of the diagram (2.8.1) means that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{L}_{(g_1.g_2)x} \arrow[r, "\sim"] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & \mathcal{L}_{g_1(g_2.x)} \arrow[d, "\wr"] \\ \mathcal{L}_x & \mathcal{L}_{g_2.x} \arrow[l, "\sim"'] \end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative and the commutativity of (2.8.2) that the two isomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_{1.x} \simeq \mathcal{L}_x$ defined by the linearization λ and ϵ respectively are the same.

3. An example .– Let me recall that a closed point x of X has been fixed. Let S be a $\mathbf{k}$-scheme. The S-points of the jacobian variety of X are by definition isomorphism classes of line bundles on $\mathrm{X_S}$ together whith a trivialization along $\{x\}\times\mathrm{S}$ (such a pair will be called a rigidified line bundle). For the covenience of the reader, let me state this well known lemma which can be founf in SGA4, exp. XVIII, (1.5.4)

Lemma 3.1 .– *The Picard stack* $\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})$ *is canonically isomorphic (as a* $\mathbf{k}$*-group stack) to* $\mathrm{JX}\times\mathrm{BG}_m$.

Proof: let $f:\ \mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})\to\mathrm{JX}\times\mathrm{BG}_m$ be the morphim which associates
-to the line bundle L on $\mathrm{X_S}$ the pair $\mathrm{L}\otimes\mathrm{L}^{-1}_{|\{x\}\times\mathrm{S}}, \mathrm{L}_{|\{x\}\times\mathrm{S}}$ (thought as an object of $\mathrm{JX}\times\mathrm{BG}_m$ over S);
-to an isomorphism $\mathrm{L}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{L}'$ on $\mathrm{X_S}$ its restriction to $\{x\}\times\mathrm{S}$.
Let $f':\ \mathrm{JX}\times\mathrm{BG}_m\to\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})$ be the morphism which associates
-to the pair (L,V) where L is a rigidified bundle on $\mathrm{X_S}$ and V a line bundle on S (thought as an object of $\mathrm{JX}\times\mathrm{BG}_m$ over S), the line bundle $\mathrm{L}\otimes_{\mathrm{X_R}}\mathrm{V}$;
-to an isomorphism $(l,v):\ (\mathrm{L},\mathrm{V})\xrightarrow{\sim}(\mathrm{L}',\mathrm{V}')$ the tensor product $l\otimes_{\mathrm{X_S}}v$.
The morphisms f and f' are (quasi)-inverse each other and are morphisms of $\mathbf{k}$-stacks. ■

We will identify from now $\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})$ and $\mathrm{JX}\times\mathrm{BG}_m$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{T}$) be the universal bundle on $\mathrm{X}\times\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})$ (resp. on $\mathrm{X}\times\mathrm{JX}$ and BG_m) and let $\Theta=(\det\mathrm{R\Gamma}\mathcal{P})^{-1}$ be the theta line bundle on JX. The isomorphism $\mathcal{L}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{P}\otimes\mathcal{T}$ yields an isomorphism

$$\det\mathrm{R\Gamma}\mathcal{L}^n(m.x)\xrightarrow{\sim}\Theta^{-n^2}\otimes\mathcal{T}^{(m+1-g)}. \tag{3.1.1}$$

4. Descent of $\mathcal{G}$-line bundles .– The object of this section is to prove the following statement

Theorem 4.1 .– *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ a $\mathcal{G}$-torsor as above. Let $\mathrm{Pic}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{X})$ be the group of isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{G}$-linearized line bundles on $\mathcal{X}$.*
Then, the pull-back morphism $f^* : \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Pic}^{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{X})$ is an isomorphism.

The descent theory of Grothendieck has been adapted in the case of algebraic 1-stacks in [L-M], essentially in the proposition (6.23).Let $\mathcal{X}_\bullet \to \mathcal{Y}$ be the (augmented) simplicial complex of stacks coskeleton of f (as defined in [De] (5.1.4) for instance). By proposition (6.23) of [L-M], one just has to construct a cartesian $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{D}_\bullet}$-module $\mathcal{L}_\bullet$ such that L_0 is the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-module $\mathcal{L}$ to prove the theorem. The n-th piece $\mathcal{X}_n$ is inductively defined by $\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{X}_n = \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}_{n-1}$ for $n > 0$. Let $p_n : \mathcal{X}_n \to \mathcal{X}$ be the projection on the first factor. It is the simplicial morphism associated to the map

$$\tilde{p}_n : \begin{cases} \Delta_0 & \to & \Delta_n \\ 0 & \longmapsto & 0 \end{cases}$$

We define $\mathcal{L}_n$ by the morphism

(4.1.1).
$$l_n : \mathcal{X}_n \xrightarrow{p_n} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{l} \mathrm{BG}_m$$

4.2. Let δ_i (resp. s_j) be the face (resp. degeneracy) operators (see [De] (5.1.1) for instance) (by abuse of notation, we use the same notation for δ_j, s_j and their image by $\mathcal{X}_\bullet$). The category (Δ) is generated by the face and degeneracy operators with the following relations (see for instance the proposition VII.5.2 page 174 of [McL])

(4.2.1)
$$\delta_i \circ \delta_j = \delta_{j+1} \circ \delta_i \qquad i \leq j$$

(4.2.2)
$$s_j \circ s_i = s_i \circ s_{j+1} \qquad i \leq j$$

(4.2.3)
$$\begin{cases} s_j \circ \delta_i & = & \delta_i \circ s_{j-1} & i < j \\ & = & 1 & i = j, i = j+1 \\ & = & \delta_{i-1} \circ s_j & i > j+1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the data of a cartesian $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_\bullet}$-module $\mathcal{L}_\bullet$ is equivalent to the data of isomorphisms $\alpha_j : \delta_j^* \mathcal{L}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_{n+1}$, $j = 0, \ldots, n+1$ and $\beta_j : s_j^* \mathcal{L}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_n$, $j = 0, \ldots, n$ (where n is a non negative integer) which are compatible with the relations 4.2.1, 4.2.2 and 4.2.3.
Let n be a non negative integer.

4.3. We have first to define for $j = 0, \dots, n+1$ an isomorphism $\alpha_j : \delta_j^* \mathcal{L}_n \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{L}_{n+1}$. The line bundle $\delta_j^* \mathcal{L}_n$ is defined by the morphism $l \circ p_n \circ \delta_j : \mathcal{X}_{n+1} \to \mathrm{BG}_m$ and $\tilde{p}_n \circ \delta_j$ is associated to the map

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_0 & \to \quad \Delta_{n+1} \\ 0 & \longmapsto \quad \delta_j(0) \end{cases}$$

If $j \neq 0$, one has therefore $\tilde{p}_n \circ \delta_j = \tilde{p}_{n+1}$ and $\delta_j^* \mathcal{L}_n = \mathrm{L}_{n+1}$. We define α_j by the identity in this case.

Suppose now that $j = 0$. Let $\pi_n : \mathcal{X}_n \to \mathcal{X}_1$ be the projection on the 2 first factors (associated to the canonical inclusion $\Delta_1 \hookrightarrow \Delta_n$. The commutativity of the 2 diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{X}_{n+1} \arrow[r, "\delta_0"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{n+1}"] & \mathcal{X}_n \arrow[d, "p_n"'] \arrow[r, phantom, "\text{and}"] & \mathcal{X}_{n+1} \arrow[r, "p_{n+1}"] \arrow[d, "\pi_{n+1}"] & \mathcal{X} \\
\mathcal{X}_1 \arrow[r, "\delta_0"] & \mathcal{X} & \mathcal{X}_1 \arrow[r, equal] & \mathcal{X}_1 \arrow[u, "\delta_1"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

allows to reduce the problem to the construction of an isomorphism

$$\delta_0^* \mathcal{L} \overset{\sim}{\to} \delta_1^* \mathcal{L} \text{ where } \delta_i, \mathcal{X}_1 \to \mathcal{X} \ i = 0, 1$$

are the face morphisms or, what is amounts to the same, to the construction of a 2-morphism $\nu : l \circ \delta_0 \Rightarrow l \circ \delta_1$ (the morphism α_j will be $\alpha_j = \nu * \pi_{n+1}$). Now the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathrm{BG}_m \\
\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{X} \arrow[ur, "l \circ m"] \arrow[r, "pr_2 \times m"] \arrow[dr, "l \circ pr_2"'] & \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \arrow[u, "l \circ \delta_0"'] \arrow[d, "l \circ \delta_1"] \\
 & \mathrm{BG}_m
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.3.1}$$

is strict commutative and $pr_2 \times m$ is an equivalence by the definition of a torsor. By the lemma 1.2, the 2-morphism λ induces a canonical 2-morphism $\lambda * (pr_2 \times m)^{-1} : l \circ \delta_0 \Rightarrow l \circ \delta_1$ which is the required 2-morphism ν.

4.4. We have then to define for $j = 0, \dots, n$ an isomorphism $\beta_j : s_j^* \mathcal{L}_{n+1} \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathcal{L}_n$. The line bundle $s_j^* \mathcal{L}$ is defined by the morphism $l \circ p_{n+1} \circ s_j$ and $p_{n+1} \circ s_j$ is associated to the canonical inclusion $\Delta_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_n$ which means $p_{n+1} \circ s_j = p_n$. Therefore, $s_j^* \mathcal{L}_{n+1} = \mathcal{L}_n$ and we define β_j to be the identity.

4.5. We have to show that the data $\mathcal{L}_\bullet, \alpha_j, \beta_j, \ j \geq 0$ defines a line bundle on the simplicial stack $\mathcal{X}_\bullet$ as explained in (4.2). Notice that the fact that the definition of the β_j 's is compatible with the relations 4.2.2 is tautological (β_j is the identity on the relevant $\mathcal{L}_n$).

4.6. Relation 4.2.1: in terms of l, this relation means the following. We have the 2 stricltly commutative diagrams

$$\alpha_i \circ (\delta_i * \alpha_j) : \ l \circ p_n \circ \delta_j \circ \delta_i \overset{\delta_i * \alpha_j}{\Longrightarrow} l \circ p_{n+1} \circ \delta_i \overset{\alpha_i}{\Longrightarrow} l \circ p_{n+2}$$

diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{X}_{n+2} \arrow[r, "\delta_i"] \arrow[dr, "p_{n+2}"'] & \mathcal{X}_{n+1} \arrow[r, "\delta_j"] \arrow[d, "p_{n+1}"] & \mathcal{X}_n \arrow[dl, "p_n"] \\
& \mathcal{X} \arrow[r, "l"] & \mathrm{BG}_m
\end{tikzcd}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{X}_{n+2} \arrow[r, "\delta_{j+1}"] \arrow[dr, "p_{n+2}"'] & \mathcal{X}_{n+1} \arrow[r, "\delta_i"] \arrow[d, "p_{n+1}"] & \mathcal{X}_n \arrow[dl, "p_n"] \\
& \mathcal{X} \arrow[r, "l"] & \mathrm{BG}_m
\end{tikzcd}$$

inducing the two 2-morphisms

$$\alpha_i \circ (\alpha_j * \delta_i) : \ l \circ p_n \circ \delta_j \circ \delta_i \overset{\alpha_j * \delta_i}{\Longrightarrow} l \circ p_{n+1} \circ \delta_i \overset{\alpha_i}{\Longrightarrow} l \circ p_{n+2}$$

and

$$\alpha_{j+1} \circ (\alpha_i * \delta_{j+1}) : \ l \circ p_n \circ \delta_i \circ \delta_{j+1} \overset{\alpha_i * \delta_{j+1}}{\Longrightarrow} l \circ p_{n+1} \circ \delta_{j+1} \overset{\alpha_{j+1}}{\Longrightarrow} l \circ p_{n+2}.$$

The relation 4.2.1 means exactly the equality

$$(4.2.1') \qquad \alpha_i \circ (\alpha_j * \delta_i) = \alpha_{j+1} \circ (\alpha_i * \delta_{j+1}), \ i \leq j.$$

If $j = 0$, the relation 4.2.1' is just by definition of α_j the condition 2.8.1 (see remark 2.9). If $j > 0$, both the 2 isomorphisms α_j and α_{j+1} are the relevant identity and the relation 4.2.1' is tautological.

4.7. Relation 4.2.3: the only non tautological relation in (4.2.3) is the associated to the equality $s_0 \circ \delta_0 = 1$ in (Δ) which means as before that $\alpha_0 * \delta_0$ is the identity functor of $l \circ p_n = l \circ p_n \circ \delta_0 \circ s_0$. But, this is exactly the meaning of the relation 2.8.2 (see remark 2.9).

5. Application to the Picard groups of some moduli spaces .– Let us chose 3 integers r, s, d such that

$$r \geq 2 \text{ and } s \mid r \mid ds.$$

If G is the group $\mathbf{SL}_r/\mu_s$ we denote as in [B-L-S] by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{G}}(d)$ the moduli stack of G-bundles on X and by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d)$ the moduli stack of rank r vector bundles and determinant $\mathcal{O}(d.x)$. If $r = s$ (i.e. $\mathrm{G} = \mathbf{PSL}_r$), the natural morphism of algebraic stacks

$$\pi : \ \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d) \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{G}}(d)$$

is a $\mathcal{J}_r$-torsor (see the corollary of proposition 2 of [Gr] for instance). Let me explain how to deal with the general case.

5.1. Let E be a a rank r vector bundle on X_{S} endowed with an isomorphism $\tau;\ \mathrm{D}^{r/s} \xrightarrow{\sim} \det(\mathrm{E})$ where D is some line bundle. Let me define the $\mathbf{SL}_r/\mu_s$-bundle $\pi(\mathrm{E})$ associated to E (more precisely to the pair (E, τ)).

Definition 5.2 .– *An* s *-trivialization of* E *on the étale neighborhood* $\mathrm{T}\to\mathrm{X_S}$ *is a triple* $(\mathrm{M},\alpha,\sigma)$ *where* $\alpha:\ \mathrm{D}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{M}^s$ *is an isomorphism (* M *is a line bundle on* T *);* $\sigma:\ \mathrm{M}^{\oplus r}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{E_T}$ *is an isomorphism;* $\det(\sigma)\circ\alpha^{r/s}:\ \mathrm{D}^{r/s}\xrightarrow{\sim}\det(\mathrm{E})$ *is equal to* τ *.*
Two s *-trivializations* $(\mathrm{M},\alpha,\sigma)$ *and* $(\mathrm{M}',\alpha',\sigma')$ *of* E *will be said equivalent if there exists an isomorphism* $\iota:\mathrm{M}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{M}'$ *such that* $\iota^s\circ\alpha=\alpha'$ *.*

The principal homogeneous space

$$\mathrm{T}\longmapsto\{\text{equivalence classes of } s\text{-trivializations of } \mathrm{E_T}\}$$

defines the $\mathbf{SL}_r/\mu_s$ -bundle $\pi(\mathrm{E})$ [†] . Now, the construction is obviously functorial and therefore defines the morphsim $\pi:\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d)\to\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{G}}(d)$ (observe that an object E of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d)$ has determinant $\mathcal{O}(\frac{ds}{r}.x)^{r/s}$). Let L be a line bundle and (M,α,τ) an s -trivialization of $\mathrm{E_T}$. Then, $(\mathrm{M}\otimes\mathrm{L},\alpha\otimes\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{L}^s},\sigma\otimes\mathrm{Id_L})$ is an s -trivialization of $\mathrm{E}\otimes\mathrm{L}$ (which has determinant $(\mathrm{D}\otimes\mathrm{L}^s)^{r/s}$). This shows that there exists a canonical functorial isomorphism

$$\pi(\mathrm{E})\xrightarrow{\sim}\pi(\mathrm{E}\otimes\mathrm{L}) \tag{5.2.1}$$

In particular, π is $\mathcal{J}_s$ -equivariant.

Lemma 5.3 .– *The natural morphism of algebraic stacks* $\pi:\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d)\to\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{G}}(d)$ *is a* $\mathcal{J}_s$ *-torsor.*

Proof: let E,E' be two rank r vector bundles on $\mathrm{X_S}$ (with determinant equal to $\mathcal{O}(d.x)$) and let $\iota:\ \pi(\mathrm{E})\xrightarrow{\sim}\pi(\mathrm{E})'$ an isomorphism. As in the proof of the lemma 13.4 of [B-L-S], we have the exact sequence of sets

$$1\to\mu_s\to\mathrm{Isom}(\mathrm{E},\mathrm{E}')\to\mathrm{Isom}(\pi(\mathrm{E}),\pi(\mathrm{E})')\xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathrm{E},\mathrm{E}'}}\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{ét}}(\mathrm{X_S},\mu_s).$$

Let L be a μ_s -torsor such that $\pi_{\mathrm{E},\mathrm{E}'}(\iota)=[\mathrm{L}]$. Then, $\pi(\mathrm{E}\otimes\mathrm{L})$ is canonically equal to $\pi(\mathrm{E})$ and $\pi_{\mathrm{E}\otimes\mathrm{L},\mathrm{E}'}=0$ and ι is induced by an isomorphism $\mathrm{E}\otimes\mathrm{L}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{E}'$ well defined up to multiplication by μ_s . The lemma follows. ■

5.4. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the universal bundle on $\mathrm{X}\times\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d)$. We would like to know which power of the determinant bundle $\mathcal{D}=(\det\mathrm{R}\Gamma\mathcal{U})^{-1}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d)$ descends to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{G}}(d)$. As in I.3 of [B-L-S], the rank r bundle $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{L}^{\oplus(r-1)}\oplus\mathcal{L}^{1-r}(d.x)$ on $\mathrm{X}\times\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})$ has determinant $\mathcal{O}(d.x)$ and therefore defines a morphism

$$f:\ \mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})=\mathrm{JX}\times\mathrm{BG}_m\to\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d)$$

which is $\mathcal{J}_s$ -equivariant.

[†] We see here a G -bundle as a formal homogeneous space under G .

The vector bundle $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{O}^{\oplus(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-r/s}(d.x)$ on $\mathrm{X}\times\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})$ has determinant $[\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\frac{ds}{r}.x)]^{r/s}$. The G-bundle $\pi(\mathcal{F}')$ on $\mathrm{X}\times\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})$ defines a morphism $f' : \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{G}}(d)$. The relation $\mathcal{L}\otimes(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{X}}\times s_{\mathcal{J}})^* * (\mathcal{F}') = \mathcal{F}$ and (5.2.1) gives an isomorphism $\pi(\mathcal{F}) = (\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{X}}\times s_{\mathcal{J}})^*\pi(\mathcal{F}')$ which means that the diagram

(5.4.1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X}) \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "s_{\mathcal{J}}"] & \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d) \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X}) \arrow[r, "f'"] & \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{G}}(d)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is 2-commutative. Exactly as in I.3 of [B-L-S], let me prove the

Lemma 5.5.– *The line bundle* $f^*\mathcal{D}^k$ *on* $\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})$ *descends through* $s_{\mathcal{J}}$ *if and only if* k *multiple of* $s/(s,r/s)$.

Proof: let $\chi = r(g-1)-d$ be the opposite of the Euler characteristic of ($\mathbf{k}$-)points of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d)$. By (3.1), one has an isomorphism $f^*\mathcal{D}^k \xrightarrow{\sim} \Theta^{kr(r-1)} \otimes \mathcal{T}^{k\chi}$. The theory of Mumford groups says that $\Theta^{kr(r-1)}$ descends through s_{J} if and only if k is a multiple of $s/(s,r/s)$. The line bundle $\mathcal{T}^{k\chi}$ on BG_m descends through s_{BG_m} if and only if $k\chi$ is a multiple of s. The lemma follows from the above isomorphism and from the observation that the condition $s \mid r \mid ds$ forces $s\chi$ to be a multiple of s. ■

Remark 5.6.– If $g=0$, the jacobian J is a point and the condition on Θ is empty. The only condition is in this case being $k\chi$ multiple of s.
Let me recall that $\mathcal{D}$ is the determinant bundle on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d)$ and $\mathrm{G} = \mathbf{SL}_r/\mu_s$.

Theorem 5.7.– *Assume that the characteristic of* $\mathbf{k}$ *is* 0. *The integers* k *such that* $\mathcal{D}^k$ *descends to* $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{G}}(d)$ *are the multiple of* $s/(s,r/s)$.

Proof: by lemma 5.5 and diagram (5.4.1), we just have to proving that $\mathcal{D}^k$ efectively descends where $k = s/(s,r/s)$. By theorem 4.1 and lemma 5.3, this means exactly that $\mathcal{D}^k$ has a $\mathcal{J}_s$-linearization. We know by lemma 5.5 that the pull-back $f^*\mathcal{D}^k$ has such a linearization.

Lemma 5.8.– *The pull-back morphism* $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{J}_s\times\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_r}(d)) \to \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{J}_s\times\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X}))$ *is injective.*

Proof: by lemma 3.1, one is reduced to prove that the natural morphism

$$\mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{B}\mu_s\times\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_r}(d)) \to \mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{B}\mu_s\times\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X}))$$

is injective. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be any stack. The canonical morphism $\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{X}\times\mathrm{B}\mu_s$ is a μ_s-torsor (with the trivial action of μ_s on $\mathcal{X}$). By theorem 4.1, one has the equality

$$\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{X}\times\mathrm{B}\mu_s) = \mathrm{Pic}^{\mu_s}(\mathcal{X}).$$

Assume further that $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{X},\mathcal{O})=\mathbf{k}$. The later group is then canonically isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{X})\times\mathrm{Hom}(\mu_s,\mathrm{G}_m)=\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{X})\times\mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{B}\mu_s).$$

All in all, we get a functorial isomorphism

$$\iota_{\mathcal{X}}:\ \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{X}\times\mathrm{B}\mu_s)\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{X})\times\mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{B}\mu_s). \tag{5.8.1}$$

By [L-S], the Picard group of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d)$ is the free abelian group $\mathrm{Z}.\mathcal{D}$ and the formula (3.1) proves that

$$f^*:\ \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d))\to\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X}))$$

is an injection. The lemma folows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d))\times\mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{B}\mu_s) \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\iota_{\mathcal{M}}"', "\wr"] & \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X}))\times\mathrm{Pic}(\mathrm{B}\mu_s) \arrow[d, "\iota_{\mathcal{J}}"', "\wr"] \\
\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d)\times\mathrm{B}\mu_s) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})\times\mathrm{B}\mu_s)
\end{tikzcd}$$

■

Let $\mathcal{H}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{J}}$) be the line bundle on $\mathcal{J}_s\times\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d)$ (resp. $\mathcal{J}_s\times\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})$

$$\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}om(m_{\mathcal{M}}^*\mathcal{D}^k,pr_2^*\mathcal{D}^k)\ \text{resp.}\ \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{J}}=\mathcal{H}om(m_{\mathcal{M}}^*f^*\mathcal{D}^k,pr_2^*f^*\mathcal{D}^k).$$

Let us chose a $\mathcal{J}_s$-linearization $\lambda_{\mathcal{J}}$ of $f^*\mathcal{D}^k$. It defines a trivialization of the line bundle $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{J}}$. The equivariance of f implies (cf. 2.3) that there exists a (compatible) 2-morphism

$$q:\ m_{\mathcal{M}}\circ(\mathrm{Id}\times f)\Rightarrow f\circ m_{\mathcal{J}}$$

making the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{J}_s\times\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X}) \arrow[r, "m_{\mathcal{J}}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{Id}\times f"'] & \mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X}) \arrow[d, "f"'] \\
\mathcal{J}_s\times\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_r}(d) \arrow[r, "m_{\mathcal{M}}"] & \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_r}(d)
\end{tikzcd}$$

2-commutative. The 2-morphism q defines an isomorphism from the pull-back $m_{\mathcal{M}}^*\mathcal{D}^k$ on $\mathcal{J}_s\times\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})$ to $m_{\mathcal{J}}^*(f^*\mathcal{D}^k)$. The pull-back of $pr_2^*\mathcal{D}^k$ on $\mathcal{J}_s\times\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})$ is tautologically isomorphic to $pr_2^*(f^*\mathcal{D}^k)$. The preceding isomorphisms induce an isomorphism

$$(\mathrm{Id}\times f)^*\mathcal{H}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{J}}.$$

The later line bundle being trivial, so is $(\mathrm{Id}\times f)^*\mathcal{H}$. The lemma above proves therefore that $\mathcal{H}$ istself is *trivial*. Each ($\mathbf{k}$-)point j of J_s defines a morphism $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_r}(d)\to\mathcal{J}_s\times\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_r}(d)$ (resp. $\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})\to\mathcal{J}_s\times\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})$); let me denote by $\mathcal{H}_j$ (resp. $f^*\mathcal{H}_j$) the pull-back of $\mathcal{H}$ (resp. $(\mathrm{Id}\times f)^*\mathcal{H}$) by this morphism. The pull-back morphism

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{J}_s\times\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d),\mathcal{H})\to\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{J}_s\times\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X}),(\mathrm{Id}\times f)^*\mathcal{H})$$

can be identified to the direct sum

$$\oplus_{j\in\mathcal{J}_s(\mathbf{k})}\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d),\mathcal{H}_j)\to\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X}),f^*\mathcal{H}_j).$$

Because

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d),\mathcal{O})=\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X}),\mathcal{O})=\mathbf{k} \tag*{(5.8.2),}$$

this morphism is a direct sum of non-zero morphisms of vector spaces of dimension 1 and therefore an isomorphism. In particular, a linearization $\lambda_{\mathcal{J}}$ of $f^*\mathcal{D}^k$ defines canonicaly an isomophism

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{M}}:\ m_{\mathcal{M}}^*\mathcal{D}^k\xrightarrow{\sim}pr_2^*\mathcal{D}^k$$

such that $(\mathrm{Id}\times f)^*\lambda_{\mathcal{M}}=\lambda_{\mathcal{J}}$.

Explicitely, $\lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$ is characterized as follows: let x be an object of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{SL}_r}(d)$ over a connected scheme S and g an object of $\mathcal{J}_s(\mathrm{S})=\mathcal{J}_s(\mathbf{k})$. The preceding dicussion means that the functorial isomorphisms

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(g,x):\ \mathcal{D}^k_{g.x}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{D}^k_x$$

are determined when x lies in the essential image of f. In this case, let us chose an isomorphism $f(x')\xrightarrow{\sim}x$ (inducing an isomorphism $g.f(x')\xrightarrow{\sim}g.x$). Then, the diagram of isomorphisms of line bundles on S

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{L}'_{x'}=\mathrm{L}_{f(x')} \arrow[rr] & & \mathrm{L}_x & \\
\mathrm{L}'_{g.x'}=\mathrm{L}_{f(g.x')} \arrow[u, "\lambda_{\mathcal{J}}(g,x')"] \arrow[r, "q_{g,x'}"] & \mathrm{L}_{g.f(x')} \arrow[rr] & & \mathrm{L}_{g.x} \arrow[ul, "\lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(g,x)"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative (where $\mathrm{L}=\mathcal{D}^k$ and $\mathrm{L}'=f^*\mathcal{D}^k$).

Now, the pull-back of $\lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$ on $\mathcal{J}_s\times\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})$ satisfies conditions 2.8.1 and 2.8.2. Using (5.8.2) and the equivariance of of f as above, this shows that $\lambda_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a linearization. For instance, keeping the notation above, let us check the condition 2.8.2. We have to check that the isomorphism ι of L induced by ϵ is the identity. As above, it is enough to check that on $\mathcal{J}(\mathrm{X})$. By definition, with a slight abuse of notations, the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{L}'_{x'}=\mathrm{L}_{f(x')} \arrow[rr] & & \mathrm{L}_x & \\
\mathrm{L}'_{1.x'}=\mathrm{L}_{f(1.x')} \arrow[u, "\lambda_{\mathcal{J}}(1,x')"] \arrow[r, "q_{1,x'}"] & \mathrm{L}_{1.f(x')} \arrow[rr] & & \mathrm{L}_{1.x} \arrow[ul, "\lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(1,x)"']
\end{tikzcd}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{L}_x \arrow[r, "\iota"] & \mathrm{L}_x \\
 & \mathrm{L}_{1.x} \arrow[ul, "\lambda_{\mathcal{M}}(1,x)"] \arrow[u, "\epsilon(x)"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Because $\lambda_{\mathcal{J}}$ is a linearization, condition 2.8.2 gives the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{L}'_{x'} \arrow[r, equal] & \mathrm{L}'_{x'} \\
 & \mathrm{L}_{1.x'} \arrow[ul, "\lambda_{\mathcal{J}}(1,x')"] \arrow[u, "\epsilon'(x')"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

showing that the equality $\iota = \mathrm{Id}$ remains to prove the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{L}_{f(1.x')} \arrow[r, "\epsilon'"] \arrow[d, "q_{1,x'}"'] & \mathrm{L}_{f(x')} \arrow[d, equal] \\
\mathrm{L}_{1.f(x')} \arrow[r, "\epsilon"] & \mathrm{L}_{f(x')}
\end{tikzcd}$$

But this follows from the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
f(1.x') \arrow[r, "\epsilon'"] \arrow[d, "q_{1,x'}"'] & f(x') \arrow[d, equal] \\
1.f(x') \arrow[r, "\epsilon"] & f(x')
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is by definition the fact that q is compatible to ϵ as required in (2.3). One would check condition 2.8.1 in an analogous way. ■

Remark 5.9 .– In the case $g = 0$, the condition is an in remark 5.6.

Remark 5.10 .– This linearization can be certainly also deduced from a careful analysis of the first section of [Fa], but the method above seems simpler.

References

[B-L-S] A.Beauville, Y. Laszlo, C. Sorger, *The Picard group of the moduli of* G *-bundles on a curve*, preprint alg-geom/9608002.

[Br] L. Breen, *Bitorseurs et cohomologie non abélienne* , in The Grothendieck Festschrift I, Progr. Math. **86**, Birkhäuser (1990), 401-476.

[De] P. Deligne, *Théorie de Hodhe III*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **44** (1974), 5-78.

[Fa] G. Faltings, *Stable* G *-bundles and Projective Connections*, JAG **2** (1993), 507-568.

[Gr] A. Grothendieck, *Géométrie formelle et géométrie algébrique*, FGA, Sém. Bourbaki **182** (1958/59), 1-25.

[L-M] G. Laumon, L. Moret-Bailly, *Champs algébriques*, preprint Université Paris-Sud (1992).

[L-S] Y. Laszlo, C. Sorger, *The line bundles on the moduli of parabolic* G *-bundles over curves and their sections*, preprint alg-geom/9507002.

[McL] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, GTM **5**, Springer-Verlag (1971).

Y. Laszlo
DMI - École Normale Supérieure
(URA 762 du CNRS)
45 rue d'Ulm
F-75230 Paris Cedex 05